Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

| Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG): | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| R7SESF | F Southeastern Red Spruce - Fraser Fir | | | | | | | | |
| General Information | | | | | | | | | |
| Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Modelers</u> | | Reviewers | | | | | | | |
| KellyAnn Gorman | kellyann_gorman@nps.gov | J. Dan Pitillo | dpittillo@g | dpittillo@gmail.com | | | | | |
| Erin Small | esmall@fs.fed.us | Erin Small | esmall@fs. | fed.us | | | | | |
| Sue Gawler | sue_gawler@natureserve.or | | | | | | | | |
| | g | | | | | | | | |
| Vegetation Type | General Model Sources | Rapid Assessment Model Zones | | | | | | | |
| Forested | ✓ Literature | | California | Pacific Northwest | | | | | |
| Dominant Species* | Local Data | | Great Basin | South Central | | | | | |
| | ✓ Expert Estimate | | Great Lakes | Southeast | | | | | |
| ABFR | LANDEIDE Monning Zongo | _ | ✓ Northeast | S. Appalachians | | | | | |
| PIRU BEAL2 | LANDFIRE Mapping Zones | <u> </u> | Northern Plains | Southwest | | | | | |
| DEALZ | 61 | | N-Cent.Rockies | | | | | | |

Geographic Range

Mountains from WV and VA to NC; southern limit is Richard Mountain in the Balsam Fir range in NC and the central Smoky Mountains along the NC-TN border and Cowee Bald in the Cowee Range. The northern limit is south of the Maryland border.

Biophysical Site Description

Stands are found at middle to high elevations, usually on the highest mountains, capping the highest peaks. Occurs in the Central Appalachian Broadleaf-Coniferous and Forest Meadow ecological provinces, and the Northern Ridge and Valley and Blue Ridge Mountain ecological sections. Generally site conditions are poor, with short frost-free seasons and shallow, poorly developed, easily eroded soils on steep slopes. Sites are frequently foggy and cloud contact may account for significant moisture.

This type would have dominated the landscape throughout with inclusions of other forest types in wetter spots, or at higher elevations. There is strong evidence indicating that species occurrence largely dominated sandy soils or glacial moraines.

Vegetation Description

Montane and allied spruce and spruce-fir forest. Stable, uneven-aged forest with canopy dynamics dominated by gap-phase regeneration on a fine scale. Dominant species are Fraser fir (Abies fraseri) and/or red spruce (Picea rubens). Other common associates include yellow birch (Betula allegheniensis), mountain ash (Sorbus americana), mountain maple (Acer spicatum), pin cherry (Prunus pensylvanica), hobble bush (Viburnum alnifolium), and bearberry (Vaccinium erythrocarpum).

Disturbance Description

Fire Regime Group V. Fire disturbances are severe and affect large patch sizes but are very rare, at 300 to

1,000-year intervals; wind events are much more frequent at intervals of 100 to 200 years. Other disturbances, including windthrow, insect attack, and ice storms, usually on a single-tree-gap scale, were much more important than fire although they may have pre-disposed the forest to fire during drought conditions. In modern times other disturbances, especially logging, logging slash fires, balsam woolly adelgid (an exotic species), acid deposition, and climate change, are playing an important role.

Hurricanes are occasional causes of relatively large windthrow areas. They were/are probably 50-100 year interval events within small sections of the region. It should be noted that any windthrow events on the declining spruce-fir forest can be locally significant.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Scale Description Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

Issues/Problems

Model Evolution and Comments

Model is the same as FRCC model ESPF1, with no changes. This description is also based on the description doc for FRCC model ESPF1, with very minor changes made to the range: Frasier fir does not extend into the northeastern states, but this type is present in the very southern extent of RA Region 7 in the Appalachians in WV and VA.

It is possible that human caused (anthropogenic) fires are more important than natural fires. Further, it is presumed that some openings observed by settlers involved Indian activity (J. Dan Pittillo).

Model assumption: Native American fire was considered but not determined to be a significant factor.

Peer Review of the FRCC model was provided by Bill Patterson III (wap@forwild.umass.edu), University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst, MA, at Milwaukee, WI: 20 July, 2004.

Peer reviewed by J. Dan Pitillo, W. Carolina University, 04/26/05 and Erin Small.

Succession Classes Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class A 15% **Canopy Position** Min Max Model All Structures BEAL2 Upper Cover 10% 90% **Description** PRPE2 Upper Height Tree Regen <5m Tree Short 5-9m Young stand co-dominated by ACSP2 Mid-Upper Tree Size Class Sapling >4.5ft; <5"DBH hardwoods; less than PIRU Low-Mid approximately 30 yrs old. \square Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. **Upper Layer Lifeform** Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: ⊢Herbaceous \sqcup Shrub **✓**Tree Fuel Model 8

| Class B | 30% | Indicator Species* and Canopy Position | | Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------|---|--------------|-------------|--------------------|--|
| Mid1 Close | A | PIRU | Upper | | M | lin | Max | |
| | u | ABFR | Upper | Cover | 6 | 0% | 100 % | |
| <u>Description</u> | | BEAL2 | • • | Height | Tree Sho | ort 5-9m | Tree Medium 10-24m | |
| Mature stand dominated by spruce and/or fir, approximately 30 - 100 | | DEAL2 | Mid-Upper | Tree Siz | ze Class Pol | le 5-9" DBH | | |
| yrs old. | pproximately 50 Too | Upper Layer Lifeform ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☑ Tree Fuel Model 5 | | Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: | | | | |
| Class C | 55 % | Indicator S | Species* and osition | <u>d</u> Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) | | | | |
| Late 1 Class | 1 | PIRU | Mid-Upper | | Mi | n | Max | |
| Late 1 Closed Description | a | BEAL2 | Upper | Cover | 60 | % | 90 % | |
| | | DLALL | Оррсі | Height | Tree Shor | t 5-9m | Tree Tall 25-49m | |
| | stand dominated by rally over 100 yrs old. | | | Tree Size | e Class Med | dium 9-21"D | ВН | |
| | | Shrub ✓ Tree Fuel Model 5 | | | | | | |
| Class D | 0% | Indicator Species* and Canopy Position | | Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) | | | | |
| Late1 All Str | nictures | | <u> </u> | | Mi | n | Max | |
| <u>Description</u> | detares | | | Cover | | 9% | 0% | |
| | | | | Height | no da | | no data | |
| | | | | Tree Size Class no data | | | | |
| | | Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data | | Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: | | | | |
| Class E | 0% | Indicator S | Species* and osition | Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) | | | | |
| Late1 All Str | ructures | | | 0 | Mi | | Max | |
| Description | | | | Cover | | % | % | |
| | | | | Heiaht | no da | ata | no data | |

Tree Size Class

no data

| | Upper Layer Life Herbaceou Shrub Tree Fuel Model no | IS | | • | n differs from d f dominant lifef | ominant lifeform. orm are: | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Disturbances | | | | | | | | |
| Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled ☐Insects/Disease ☑Wind/Weather/Stress ☐Native Grazing ☐Competition ☐Other: ☐Other: | Fire Regime (I: 0-35 year II: 0-35 year III: 35-200 IV: 35-200 V: 200+ year | r frequency r frequency year frequency year frequency | ucy, replace uency, low a uency, repla | ment sever and mixed s acement se | ity severity verity | | | |
| Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: Min: Max: | Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise. | | | | | | | |
| | | Avg FI | Min FI | Max FI | Probability | Percent of All Fires | | |
| Sources of Fire Regime Data | Replacement | 500 | 300 | 1000 | 0.002 | 99 | | |
| ✓ Literature | Mixed | | | | | | | |
| ☐Local Data | Surface | | | | · | <u> </u> | | |
| ✓ Expert Estimate | All Fires | 500 | | | 0.00202 | | | |
| | Da | fauau | | | | | | |

References

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Kuchler, A.W. 1964. Southeastern spruce-fir forest (Picea-Abies). #97 In: Manual to accompany the map Potential Natural Vegetation of the United States. New York, NY: The American Geographical Society. 156.

Loehle, Craig. 1988. Tree life histories: the roles of defenses. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 18: 209-222.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

Southern Appalachian Man and the Biosphere. 1996. The Southern Appalachian Assessment Terrestrial Technical Report. Report 5 of 5. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region.

US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region. 1997. Montane and allied spruce and spruce-

fir forest old-growth forest community. Pp. 100-102 in: Guidance for conserving and restoring old-growth forest communities in National Forests in the Southern Region: Report of the Region 8 Old-Growth Team. Forestry Report R8-FR 62. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region. 120 p.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online 12 February 2004]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/.

White, P.S., E.R. Buckner, J.D. Pittillo and C.V. Cogbill. 1993, High-elevation forests: spruce-fir forests, northern hardwoods forests, and associated communities. In Martin, W.H., S.G. Boyce and A.C. Echternact. Biodiversity of the southeastern United Atates. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Pp. 305-337.